#### **Direct Methods for solving linear systems:**

Linear systems of equations are associated with many problems in engineering and Science, as well as with applications of mathematics for social Sciences.

Direct techniques are considered to solve the linear system

$$a_{1}, x_{1} + a_{1,2}x_{2} + \dots + a_{1n}x_{n} = b_{1}$$

$$a_{2}, x_{1} + a_{22}x_{2} + \dots + a_{2n}x_{n} = b_{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{n}, x_{1} + a_{n2}x_{2} + \dots + a_{nn}x_{n} = b_{n}$$

for  $x_1, ..., x_n$  given the  $a_{ij}$  for each i, j = 1, 2, ..., nCenter for Teaching & Learning Development and  $b_i$  for each = 1, 2, ... n.

Direct techniques are methods that give an answer in a fixed number of steps subject only to rounding errors.

#### Linear system of equations:

- Examples  $\begin{array}{l} x_{1} + x_{2} + 3x_{4} = 4 \\ 2x_{1} + x_{2} x_{3} + x_{4} = 1 \end{array}$  (1)  $3x_{1} - x_{2} - x_{3} + 2x_{4} = 3 \end{array}$  (2) (3)
  - $3x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} + 2x_{4} = 3$   $-x_{1} + 2x_{2} + 3x_{3} x_{4} = 4$ (3)
    (4)

the

# **Center for Teaching & Learning Development** will be solved for the unknowns and

equation (2), (3) and (4) by performing

(2) - 2 (1), (3) -3 (1) and (4) + (1)  
the resulting system is  

$$x_1 + x_2 + 3x_4 = 4$$
 (1)  
 $x_2 - x_3 - 5x_4 = -7$  (2)  
 $-4x_2 - x_3 - 7x_4 = -15$  (3)  
 $3x_2 + 3x_3 + 2x_4 = 8$  (4)  
where the new equations are labled (1), (2), (3)  
and (4) in this system (2) is used to eliminate  
from (2) and (4) by the exerctions

from (3) and (4) by the operations relepment

 $(3^) - 4(2^)$  and  $(4^) + 3(2^)$  resulting in the system

$$x_{1} + x_{2} + 3x_{4} = 4$$
  
-x\_{2} - x\_{3} - 5x\_{4} = -7  
3x\_{3} + 13x\_{4} = 13  
-13x\_{4} = -13

the system now in reduced form and can easily be solved for the unknowns by a back ward substitution process, poting, that 2,  $x_3 = 0$   $x_4 = 1$ 

the solution is therefore الركز تطوير الت and Center for Teaching & Learning Development

# **Gaussian Elimination:**

#### **Definition:**

an n x m matrix is a rectangular array of elements with n rows and m columns in which not only is the value of an element important but also its position in the array.

$$A = (a_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1.1} & a_{1.2} & a_{1.3} & \dots & a_{1m} \\ a_{2.1} & a_{2.2} & a_{2.3} & \dots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & \dots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix}$$
 rows  
Center for Teaching & Learning Development



and then combining these matrices to form the augmented matrix:



where the broken line is used to separate the coefficients of the unknown from the values on the right hand side of the equations.

Now, repeating the operations involved in Example (1) in considering first the augmented matrix associated with the system



performing the operations associated with

(2) - 2(1), (3) - 3(1) and (4) + (1) is accomplished by manipulating the respective rows of the augmented matrix \* which becomes the



performing the final manipulation results in the augmented matrix  $0 \quad 3 \quad : \quad 4 \quad 7$ 



this matrix can be transformed into its corresponding linear system? and solutions for and obtained.

The procedure involved in this process is called Gaussian elimination with backward substitution.

**Gaussian Elimination:** 

The general of the applied to the finear system  $a_{21} x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$   $\vdots$  $a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$ 

$$A = [A,b] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1.1} & a_{1.2} & \dots & a_{1n} & 1 & a_{1,n+1} \\ a_{2.1} & a_{2.2} & \dots & a_{2n} & 1 & a_{2,n+1} \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} & 1 & a_{n,n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$
  
the resulting matrix will be  
$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1.1} & a_{1.2} & \dots & a_{1n} & 1 & a_{1,n+1} \\ 0 & a_{2.2} & \dots & a_{2n} & 1 & a_{2,n+1} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{nn} & 1 & a_{n,n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

the back ward substitution can be performed solving the n<sup>th</sup> equation for  $x_n$  gives



# Solution:



performing backward substitution



# Solution:



<u>**Note:</u>** The difficulty of Gaussian method is that sometimes you have to interchange rows and</u>

#### **Gauss-Jordan Elimination:**

A popular variant of Gaussian Elimination is Gauss-Jordan Elimination.

The idea is to reduce all elements in a column to zero except the diagonal element, Repeating this procedure to get<sub>0</sub> ...  $0 \\ \vdots \\ b_1^{(n-1)}$ 





Now eliminate all elements in the second column except the diagonal element -5.

We want to eliminate 2 from the second column and eliminate 3/2 from the third row to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 & \vdots & 8 \\ 0 & -5 & 0 & \vdots & -\frac{5}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & \vdots & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, we want to eliminate 2 and  $\frac{-5}{2}$  from the third column to get<sub>5</sub> 0 : -5



#### Solution:





Adding  $^{-1}/_{2}$  times the third row to the second row and then adding 2 times the second row of the resulting matrix to the first row yields the reduced







Solve the given linear system A equations using either Gaussian Elimination or Gauss-Jordan Elimination: -3x + 5y = -22

3x + 4y = 44x - 8y = 32(2)  $x_1 + x_2 - 5x_3 = 3$  $x_1 - 5x_3 = 1$  $2x_1 - x_2 - x_3 = 0$ (3)  $x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 + 2x_4 + 6x_5$  $3x_1 - 2x_2 + 4x_3 + 4x_4 + 12x_5$  $x_{2} - x_{3} - x_{4} - 3x_{5} = -3$  $2x_{1} - 2x_{2} + 4x_{3} + 5x_{4} + 15x_{5} = 10$ Cente  $2x_1 - 2x_2 + 4x_3 + 4x_4 + 13x_5$  D =13 pment



**Matrices** 

# **Definition:**

A matrices can be denoted by a rectangular array of numbers



مركز تطوير التعليم الجامعي Center for Teaching & Learning Development

#### **Definition:**

If two matrices have the same size we can add them

If  $A = [\alpha_{ij}], \quad B = [\beta_{ij}],$   $(A + B)_{ij} = \alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij}.$  then Example:  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 + 1 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}$ 

**مركز تطوير التعليم الجامعي** Definition: er for Teaching & Learning Development

If A is any matrix and C is any scalar then



#### The product AB is an m x p matrix



#### Solution:



# **Definition:**

The m x n matrix is square matrix containing I's down main diagonal, O's elements elsewhere is the identity matrix

()

 $\mathbf{0}$ 

Properties of the identity Matrix:

 $I_3 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$ 

If A is a matrix of order m x n then the following properties are true: 2)  $I_m A = A$ Center for Teaching & Learning Development

### The Transpose of a matrix:

The transpose of a matrix is formed by writing its columns as rows

e.g. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 then 
$$A^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
The inverse of a matrix:  
$$A \neq 0$$
  
The square matrix A has an inverse iff det  
(A is a non singular)  
Center for Teaching & Learning Development

if  $AB = BA = I_n$ then  $B = A^{-1}$ To Find inverse of a matrix A if it exists:

by adjoining the identity matrix to the coefficient matrix using row operation only (OR by column operation only). 2 1











**Center for Teaching & Learning Development**






Thus A\* is the transpose of the matrix it as faster







#### Theorem:



 $A^{-1}$  esists.



$$\begin{pmatrix} \det A \neq 0 \implies A^{-1} exists \\ A^{-1} exists \implies \det A \neq 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
Properties of inverse of matrices:  
(1)  $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$   
(2)  $(cA)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}$  where c is scalax.  
(3)  $(A^{t})^{-1} = (A^{-1})^{t}$ 
The inverse Product:

*Theorem:* If  $A_B$  and  $B_A$  are invertible of under n the AB is invertible and

**System of equations:** 

Theorem:

If A is an invertible matrix, then the system of linear equations represented by Ax = B has a unique solution given by An = B $\int A^{-1}Ax = A^{-1}B$  $x = A^{-1}B.$ مركز تطوير التعليم الجامع:<u>Example</u> Solve the system of equations wing an





### **Elementary matrices:**













# $\therefore \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -7 & -2 \\ -1 & 8 & -29 & -7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -5 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 12 & 3 \\ 0 & -6 & 25 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ **Theorem:** If a square matrices F, G, H, ... etc have inverses and are of the same size then their مركز تطوير التعليم الجامع:Theorem Every elementary matrix has an inverse



(1) Show that B is the inverse of A

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, B = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -5 & 3 \\ -4 & -8 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(2) Find the inverse of the matrix (if it exists) (a)  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 & 10 \\ 7 & 16 & 21 \end{bmatrix}$ , b  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 & 9 \\ -1 & -4 & -7 \end{bmatrix}$ Center for Teaching & Learning Development

### (3) Use an inverse matrix to solve the given

system of

linear equations  $x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 - 2x_4 = 0$   $3x_1 - 5x_2 - 2x_3 - 3x_4 = 1$   $2x_1 - 5x_2 - 2x_3 - 5x_4 = -1$  $-x_1 + 4x_2 + 4x_3 + 11x_4 = 2$ 

(4) For each of the following matrices A and B find the product of elementary matrices (P,Q,R and S) such that PAQ  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 3\\ and & B \\ 3 & 0 & 9 & 3\\ diagonal_5 matrices & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 & -6\\ 0 & 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$ 

### **CHAPTER THREE**



is given by

det 
$$A = \begin{vmatrix} a_{1,2} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{vmatrix} = a_{1,1}a_{2,2} - a_{1,2}a_{2,1}$$
  
Definition:

If A is a square of order 2 OR grater, then the determinant of A is the sum of the entries in the first row of A multiplied by their cofactors. **Example:**  $|\mathbf{0}|$ مرکز تطویر الن<mark>ہلیم</mark> اجا 4 A = |3|**Center for Teaching & Learning Development** 

then







### The determinant of a triangular Matrix:







## Evaluation of a determinant using elementary operations:

- By elementary row operations we not that
- 1. Interchanging two rows of the matrix changed the sign of its determinant.
- 2. Multiplying a row by a non zero constant
  - multiplied the determinant by that same

constant.

### Theorem: Condition that yield a zero determinant

If A is a square matrix and any of the following

- (1) An entire row (OR column) consists of zeros.
- (2) Two rows (OR columns) are equal.
- (3) One row (OR column) is a multiple of another

row (OR column).

Properties of determinants:

1. If A and B are square matrices of order n, then |AB|=|A| |B|.

2. If A is an n x n matrix and C is scalar, then  $|cA|=C^n|A|$ .  $|cA|=C^n|A|$ . Center for Teaching & Learning Development 3.  $|A|+|B| \neq |A+B|$ 

### 4. If A is invertible then $|A^{-1}| = \frac{1}{|A|}$ 5. If A is a square matrix, then $|A| = |A^t|$ **Applications of Determinants:** 1)Cramers Rule: Is a formula that uses determinants to solve a system of n linear equations in n variables.

Center for Teaching & Learning Development This rule can be applied only to systems of consider two linear equations in two unknowns.

$$a_{1.1}x_1 + a_{1.2}x^2 = b_1$$

$$a_{2.1}x_1 + a_{2.2}x_2 = b_2$$
then
$$x_1 = \frac{a_{2.2}b_1 - a_{1.2}b_2}{a_{1.1}a_{2.2} - a_{2.1}a_{1.2}}$$

recognizing that the numerator and denominator for both  $k_{1}$  and  $x_{2}$  can be represented as  $b_{1}$ determinants we have  $x_{2} = \frac{a_{2.1} \quad b_{2}}{a_{1.1} \quad a_{1.2}}$ ,  $x_{2} = \frac{a_{2.1} \quad b_{2}}{a_{1.1} \quad a_{1.2}}$  $a_{2.1} \quad a_{2.2}$  Center for leaching & Learning Development provided



#### for n linear equations,

e.g./



Area of Triangle in the x y - Plane:

The area of the triangle whose vertices are (x1, y1),  $(x_2, y_2)$  and  $(x_3, y_3)$  is given by

Area = 
$$\pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & 1 \\ x_3 & y_3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

when the sign (±) is chosen to give a positive area

**Example:** Find the area of the triangle whose vertices are (1,0), (2, 2) and (4, 3)



Area = 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pm \frac{1}{2} & \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{3}{2}$$

### *Test for collinear points in the xy - Plane:* Three points (x1, y1), (x2, y2) and (x3, y3) are collinear iff:

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 \\ x_{2} & y_{2} & 1 \\ x_{3} & y_{3} & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

### **3.Equation of a line passing through two points:**

e. g Finding the equation of the line passing through the points (2,4) and (-1, 3) is given by:

$$\begin{vmatrix} x & y & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \ i \ e \ x \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = y \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 1 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

x-3y = -10
#### **4.Volume of Tetrahedron**

The volume tetrahedron whose vertices are (x1, y1, z1), (x2, y2, z2), (x3, y3, z3) is give by :

Volume = 
$$\pm \frac{1}{6}$$
  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1, & y_1 & z_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 & 1 \\ x_3 & y_3 & z_3 & 1 \\ x_4 & y_4 & z_4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Where the sing (±) is chosen to give a positive volume.

#### **Test for coplanar points in space:**

Four points (x 1, y1, z1), (x 2, y2, z2), (x 3, y3, z3) and (x 4, y4, z4) are coplanar iff:



## **5.Equation of a plane passing through three points:**

The equation of the plane passing through the pints (x 1, y1, z1), (x 2, y2, z2), (x 3, y3, z3) is give by:

$$\begin{vmatrix} x & y & z & 1 \\ x_1 & y_1 & z_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 & 1 \\ x_3 & y_3 & z_3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

**1) Show that**

$$\begin{bmatrix}
a & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & a & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & a
\end{bmatrix} = (a+3)(a-1)^3$$

2) Use cramers rule to find the solution of the system of linear equation

$$3 = 2 \times 1 + 3 \times 2 + 3 \times 3$$
  

$$13 = 6 \times 1 + 6 \times 2 + 12 \times 3$$
  

$$2 = 12 \times 1 + 9 \times 2 - \times 3$$

3) Find the determinant of the following n x n matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1-n & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1-n & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1-n & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1-n \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

<u>CHAPTER – 4</u> <u>Vector Space</u> <u>Vectors: 4.1</u>)

# The notation of a vector AB or a



Zero vector has zero length, its direction is anywhere we like. Two basic operations that can be performed with vectors

 $\underline{b} = \mathbf{oc} (\mathsf{Triangle-rule})$ 

(i) Addition :



(parallegram rule)

(ii) <u>Multiplication by real number</u>



#### **Basic properties:**

A1: a + b = b + a (obvious from paralle gram rule)

A2: 
$$(\underline{a} + \underline{b}) + \underline{C} = \underline{a} + (\underline{b} + \underline{c})$$
  
 $(\underline{a} + \underline{b}) + \underline{C} = \overline{OR} + \overline{OR} = \overline{OS}$ 
 $\underline{a} + (\underline{b} + \underline{c}) = \overline{OA} + \overline{OP}$ 
 $\underline{C}$ 
 $\underline{C}$ 
 $\underline{B}$ 
 $\overline{C}$ 
 $R$ 
 $\overline{C}$ 
 $\underline{B}$ 
 $R$ 
 $\overline{C}$ 
 $R$ 
 $\overline{C}$ 
 $\overline{C}$ 

#### A3: <u>a</u> + <u>0</u> = <u>a</u>

A4 : Given any vector  $\underline{a} - \exists$  a unique a\* such that  $\underline{a} + \underline{a^*} = \underline{O}$ We normally denote  $\underline{a}$  by  $-\underline{a}$  $\underline{a} + (\underline{a^*}) = \underline{0}$  $\underline{a} + (\underline{a^*}) = \underline{0}$ 

Solar multiplication properties: S1:  $(\infty + \beta)\underline{x} = \infty \underline{x} + \beta \underline{x}$ S2:  $\infty (\underline{x} + \underline{y}) = \infty \underline{x} + \infty \underline{y}$ 

S3:  $\alpha(\beta x) = (\alpha\beta)x$ 

S4: 
$$\infty(\beta \underline{x}) = (\infty \beta) \underline{x}$$

#### **Vector in Plane:**

Choose an origin and axes (not necessarily at right angle) and a unit length, then every vector is represented by a pair of coordinates.





 $\rightarrow$ OX = (3, 1) $\overrightarrow{Oy} = (1, 2)$  $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \\ \therefore OX + OY = (3,1) + (1,2)$ = (4, 3)If  $Ox_1 = x_1$  $Ox_2 = x_2$  $Ox_{3} = x_{3}$ 



Than Ox has coordinates x1, x2, x3 With respect to the axes through 0  $\therefore Ox = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ If  $\underline{x} = (x1, x2, x3)$ y = (y1, y2, y3)Then  $\underline{x} + \underline{y} = (x1 + y1, x2 + y2, x3 + y3)$ And Kx = (Kx1, Kx2, Kx3)Note : O = (0, 0, 0)

Example : Find the sum of the following vectors  $\underline{u} = (1, 4)$  $\underline{v} = (2, -2)$  Solution:

$$\underline{u} + \underline{v} = (1, 4) + (2, -2) \quad (3, 2)$$

We can use \* to prove A1- A4 and S1 – S4 e. g/: SI :  $(\infty + \beta)\underline{x} = \infty \underline{x} + \beta \underline{x}$ 

Then 
$$(\infty + \beta)\underline{x} = \{(\infty + \beta)x_1, (\infty + \beta)x_2, (\infty + \beta)x_3\}$$
  

$$= (\infty x_1, \infty x_2, \infty x_3) + (\beta x_1, \beta x_2, \beta x_3)$$

$$= \infty (x_1, x_2, x_3) + \beta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

$$= \infty \underline{x} + \beta \underline{x}$$

Using formula \* and basic properties A1-A4 and S1-S4. We prove all the algebraic properties of vectors.

So, instead of saying (x1, x2, x3) represent a rector <u>or</u> are the coordinates vector we can say (x1, x2, x3).

**Example** : Let  $\underline{U} = (2, -1, 5, 0), \ \underline{V} = (4, 3, 1, -1)$  and  $\underline{W} = (-6, 2, 0, 3)$  solve for X as  $\underline{x} = 2\underline{u} - (\underline{v} + 3\underline{w})$ Solution :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underline{x} &= 2 \ \underline{u} = (\underline{v} + 3 \underline{w}) \\ = (4, -2, 10, 0) - (4, \\ 3, 1, -1) - (-18, 6, 0, 9) \\ = (4 - 4 + 18, -2 - 3 - \\ 6, 10 - 1 - 0, 0 + 1 - 9) \\ = (18, -11, 9 - 8) \end{array}$$

1.1) If  $\underline{x} + \underline{y} = \underline{x} + \underline{z}$  then  $\underline{y} = \underline{z}$ Proof.: suppose  $\underline{x} + \underline{y} = \underline{x} + \underline{z}$ The vector  $-\underline{x}$  exists (A4) Then  $(-\underline{x}) + (\underline{x} + \underline{y}) = (-\underline{x}) + (\underline{x} + \underline{z})$   $(-\underline{x} + \underline{x}) + \underline{y} = (-\underline{x} + \underline{x}) + \underline{z}$  (A2)  $= \underline{O} + \underline{z} + \underline{O} + \underline{y}$  (A1, A4)  $\underline{y} = \underline{z}$  (A1, A3)

1.2) O<u>x</u> = <u>O</u> Proof. :

$$\underline{x} + \underline{O} = 1\underline{x} = (1+0)\underline{x}$$
$$= 1\underline{x} + O\underline{x}$$
$$= \underline{x} + O\underline{x}$$
$$\underline{O} = O\underline{x}$$

1.3. 
$$(\propto \underline{x}) = -(\infty)\underline{x}$$

In particular  $-\underline{x} = (-1) \underline{x}$ 

1.4. 
$$(\infty - \beta)\underline{x} = \infty \underline{x} - (\beta \underline{x})$$
  
**n** - Vectors:

<u>Definition</u>: An ordered set (x1, x2, .... xn) of then real numbers is called an n-vector, we cannot give a geometrical interpolation of n-vectors in physical space when n > 3.

The sum of 
$$\underline{x} = (x1, x2 \dots xn)$$
 and  
 $\underline{y} = (y1, y2 \dots yn)$   
Is defined to be

(x1 + y1, x2, + y2..... xn + yn)

And is defined by  $\underline{x} + \underline{y}$ The product of scales  $\alpha$  and x is  $\alpha \underline{x} = (\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2, \dots, \alpha x_n)$ The set of all n-vector is denoted by Rn A1 – A4, S1 – S4 are true for n-vectors. <u>4.2) Sub spaces:</u> –

Rn is called a vector space R<sup>3</sup> consist of all vectors in 3-space with a common origin <u>O</u> consider a subset S of R3 consisting of all vector lying in a plane through O.

We call S a sub space of R<sup>3</sup> (We regard a plane at a 2dimensional Space)

What algebraic properties does S have?



Are there other types of sub set of R<sup>3</sup> that satisfy (i) and (ii)? Yes. The set of all vectors lying in a line through <u>O.</u>

# **Definition**

Any subset set S of R<sup>3</sup> satisfying (i) and (ii) is either R<sup>3</sup> itself. all vector in a plane through O OR all vector in a line through O OR O alone .

#### Example:

- (i) The set of all 3-vectors type ( $\underline{x}1$ , x2, O) is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
  - (ii) The set of all 4-vectors type (x1, x1, x2, x3) is a subset of R4.
  - (iii) The set of all vectors type (x1, x2) is not a subspace of R<sup>3</sup>.
    - Since (1, 1)  $\in$  set (2, 4) set but (1, 1) + (2, 4) = (3, 5)  $\notin$  set.

#### Example:

Which of the following subset is a subspace of R<sup>3</sup>.

(i) 
$$\underline{W} = (x1, x2, 1)$$
  
(ii)  $\underline{W} = (x1, x1 + x3, x3)$   
X1, x2  
X1, x3  
X1, x3  
X1, x3

## Solution :

(i) Since  $\underline{O} = (O, O, O)$  is not in  $\underline{W}$  then  $W \notin \mathbb{R}^3$ . (ii) Let  $\underline{u} = (u_1, u_1 + u_3, u_3)$  and  $\underline{v} = (v1, v1 + v3, v3)$ be vectors  $\underline{\in} \underline{W}$  and let C number then  $\underline{u} + \underline{v} = (u1 + v1, u1 + u3 + v1 + v3, u3 + v3)$ 

> = (u1 + v1, u1 + v1 + u3 + v3, u3 + v3)= (x1, x1 + x3, x3)

#### Where

$x^{1} = u^{1} + v^{1}$ and $x^{3} = u^{3} + v^{3}$
Hence u + v E <u>W</u>
Now $cU = (cu1, c(u1 + u3), cu3)$ = (cu1, cu1 + cu3, cu3)
= (x1, x1 + x3, x3)
Where $\underline{x}1 = cu1$ and $\underline{x}3 = cu3$
Hence $cU \in W$

Since <u>W</u> is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, then <u>W</u> is a subspace of  $R^3$ .

### 4.3) Spanning sets and linear independence:

# Definition:

### Let $\underline{x}$ , $\underline{y}$ be two vectors is 3- spaces in different directions.

Any vector of the form lies in plane determined by  $\underline{x}$  and  $\underline{y}$ .



Every vector through O in the plane of  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{y}$  can be written in the form  $\infty \underline{x} + \beta y$ 

We call  $\propto \underline{x} + \beta y$  a liner combination of  $\underline{x}$  and  $\underline{y}$ .

Let <u>x</u>, <u>y</u> and Z be three vectors in 3- space not in the same plane.

Than every vector O, can be written in the form

$$\propto \underline{x} + \beta \underline{y} + \infty$$
 z for suitable scalars  $\propto, \beta \& \infty$ 

This expression is called a linear combination of  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{y}$  and  $\underline{z}$ .

Ve can extend this definition to Rn



#### Example: In R4

, 3,10) is a linear combination at (1, 0, 0, 0), (0,1,0,0), (0,0,1,0) Because

(2,3,1,0) = 2(1,0,0,0) + 3(0,1,0,0) + 3(0,1,0,0) + 1 (0,0,1,0) + 0(0,0,0,0,0)

#### **Example:**

Write (3,-1,4,-6) as a linear combination of vector (1,0,3,-1), (2,1,-1,1) and (-1,0,1,1).

#### **Solution:**

$$(3,-1,4,-6) = \mathcal{C} (1,0,3,-1) + \beta (2,1,-1,1) + \gamma'(-1,0,1,1)$$

$$3 = \mathcal{C} + 2\beta - \gamma$$

$$(1)$$

$$-1 = \beta$$

$$(2)$$

$$4 = 3 \mathcal{C} - \beta + \gamma$$

$$(3)$$

$$6 = -\mathcal{C} + \beta + \gamma$$

$$(4)$$

$$-3 = 3 \beta$$

$$5 = \mathcal{C} - \gamma$$

$$3 = 3 \mathcal{C} + \gamma$$

8 - 4 = 0ОС <u>-</u> 2 From (4) $-6 = -2-1 + \gamma$  $-3 = \gamma$ (3, -1, 4, -6) = 2(1, 0, 3, -1) + (-1)(2, 1, -1, 1) + (-3)(-1, 01, 1)= (3, -1, 4, -6)

In 3- space let  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{y}$ .  $\underline{z}$  be three vectors in the same plan but in different direction then each is a linear combination the other two.

#### **Example:**

Suppose 
$$\underline{y} = \left(\frac{3}{2}, +1, \frac{-2}{3}\right), \quad \underline{Z} = \left(-1, \frac{2}{3}, 2\right),$$
  
Then  $\underline{x} = 2\underline{y} + \frac{3}{2}\underline{Z} = \left(\frac{3}{2}, 3, \frac{7}{3}\right)$   
Also  $\underline{y} = \frac{1}{2}\underline{x} - \frac{3}{4}\underline{Z} = \left(\frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{-2}{3}\right)$   
 $\underline{z} = \frac{2}{3}\underline{x} - \frac{4}{3}\underline{y} = (-1, \frac{2}{3}, 2)$ 

Also we get  $2\underline{x} - 4\underline{y} - 3\underline{z} = \underline{0}$ 

Here is a non-trivial linear combination of  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{y}$ ,  $\underline{z}$  equal zero



# ( if $\underline{p}$ , $\underline{q}$ , $\gamma$ are any three vectors then $o_{\underline{p}} + o_{\underline{q}} + o_{\underline{\gamma}} = \underline{0}$

We call this trivial linear combination ofp,q and  $~\gamma$ 

## **Definition:**

Let <u>x</u>1, <u>x</u>2, ..... <u>x</u>r be n-vectors, if  $\exists$  scalars  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_r$  not. all zero

Such that  $\alpha 1 \underline{x}1 + \alpha 2 \underline{x}2 + ... + \alpha r \underline{x}r = 0$  -Then  $\underline{x}1$ ,  $\underline{x}2$ , ...  $\underline{x}r$  are linearly dependent <u>or</u> from linearly dependent set.

#### **Example:**

# (1,0,2,1) + 3(2,-2,4,2) + (-2)(5,6,7,8) + (-6)(0,-3,1,-1)= (0,0,0,0) = 0(1,0,2,1), (2,-2,4,2), (5,6,7,8) and (0,-3,1,-1)

Are linearly dependent

# **Example:**

The victors  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{y}$  are linearly dependent

Because

$$1\underline{x} + (-1)\underline{x} + 0\underline{y} = \underline{0}$$

#### Theorem :

the 3-vectors  $\underline{x}, \underline{y}, \underline{z}$  are linearly dependent then they are coplanar.

# Proof :

Since <u>x</u>, <u>y</u>, <u>z</u> are linearly dependent, scalars  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  (not all zero) such that

$$\alpha \underline{x} + \beta \underline{y} + \mathcal{Y} \underline{z} = \underline{0}$$

Suppose without loss of generality that

 $\alpha \neq 0$  then

$$\alpha \underline{x} = -\beta \underline{y} - \gamma \underline{z} \qquad \underline{x} = -\frac{-\beta}{\alpha} \underline{y} - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \underline{z}$$

So  $\underline{x}$  is alin. Comb Ay ,  $\underline{z}$ 

 $\therefore$  <u>x</u> lies on the plant Ay and <u>z</u>.

:  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{y}$ ,  $\underline{z}$  are coplanar, they all lie on the same plane.

#### **Theorem:**

If  $\underline{x}1$ , ...,  $\underline{x}r$  are linearly dependent then at least one conversely. **Proof:** 

> (a) suppose <u>x1</u>, ..., <u>xr</u> linearly dependent then i scalars  $\alpha$ , ...,  $\alpha$ r not all zero such that  $\alpha 1 \times 1 + \dots + \alpha r \times r = 0$ then W.L.O.G  $\alpha \neq 0$  $\alpha 1x1 = -\alpha 2x2 - \dots - \alpha rxr$  $\underline{x}_{1} = \frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\alpha} \underline{x}_{2} - , \dots, \frac{-\alpha_{r}}{\alpha_{1}} \underline{x}_{r}$

> > (b) Conversely if

 $x1 = -\alpha 2/\alpha 1x2 - \dots -\alpha r/\alpha 1xr$ Then  $1\underline{x}1 - \beta 2\underline{x}2 - \dots - \beta r\underline{x}r = \underline{0}$ At least one if the scalars 1,-\beta 2, \dots, -\beta r

Is non- zero, and

 $\underline{x}1$ ,...,  $\underline{x}r$  an linearly dependent

### Theorem:

any set if vectors containing <u>0</u> is linearly dependent.

# **Definition:**

A set a victors, that is not linearly dependent is linearly independent.

# **Alternative definition:**

The victors  $\underline{x}1$ , ..., xr are linearly independent If  $\alpha 1 \underline{x}1 + ... + \alpha r \underline{x}r = 0$  only when all Scalars

 $\alpha 1, \ldots, \alpha r$  are zero

$$\alpha 1 = \alpha 2 = \dots = \alpha r = 0$$

**Example:** the following sets for lin. dep. or lin. inep. (i) (1,1,-1), (2, 1, 3), (7,5,3) in R3

(ii) (1, 1, 0, 1), (1, -1, 1, 0), (1, -1, -1, -1) in 
$$\Re^4$$

# Solution:

(i) Can we find scalars  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$  not all zero such that

$$\alpha (1, 1, -1) + \beta (2, 1, 3) + (7, 5, 3) = (0, 0, 0) = \underline{0}$$
  

$$\alpha + 2\beta + 7\gamma = 0$$
  

$$\alpha + \beta + 5\gamma = 0$$
  

$$-\alpha + 3\beta + 3\gamma = 0$$
  

$$\beta + 2\gamma = 0$$
  

$$5\beta + 10\gamma = 0$$
  

$$4\beta + 8\gamma = 0$$

$$\beta = -2\gamma$$

- Try  $\beta = 2$ ,  $\gamma = -1$   $\therefore \alpha = 3$  $\therefore (1,1,-1), (2,1,3), (7,5,3)$
- $\therefore$  (1, 1, -1), (2, 1, 3), (7, 5, 3) are lin. dep.



• Can we find scalars  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  not all zero such that



#### Theorem:

(a) Let  $\underline{\mathcal{X}}_1, \dots, \underline{\mathcal{X}}_s \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ 

then the set of all linear COMBINATION of  $\underline{\chi}_1, \dots, \underline{\chi}_s$ Is a subspace S of  $\Re^n$ .

(b) if T is any subspace of  $\Re^n$  containing  $\underline{\chi}_1, \dots, \underline{\chi}_s$ . Then  $S \subseteq T$  (S contain in T).
# Proof:

- (a) The sum of two linear combination <u>χ</u><sub>1</sub>,..., <u>χ</u><sub>s</sub> is another line comb. if <u>χ</u><sub>1</sub>,..., <u>χ</u><sub>s</sub> .S satisfies condition (i) for being subspace.
  - $(\alpha_1 \underline{x}_1 + \ldots + \alpha_s \underline{x}_s) + (\beta_1 \underline{x}_1 + \ldots + \beta_s \underline{x}_s)$  $= (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \underline{x}_1 + \ldots + (\alpha_s + \beta_s) \underline{x}_s$
- Also  $\alpha(\alpha_1 \underline{x}_1 + \ldots + \alpha_s \underline{x}_s) = (\alpha \alpha_1) \underline{x}_1 + \ldots + (\alpha \alpha_s) \underline{x}_s.$
- ... S satisfies condition (ii)
- : S is subspace.



- (b) if T contains  $\underline{\mathcal{X}}_1, \dots, \underline{\mathcal{X}}_s$  then T contains all their line. Comb.
  - $\therefore$   $S \subseteq T$  (T contained in S).



# [**Theorem :** If $\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r \in$ a subspace S of $\Re^n$ Then every line . Comb .of $\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r \in$ S.]





## **Definition:**

let  $S = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_s\}$  be a subspace of a vector space V. then S is a spanning set of V if every vector in V can be written as a line. Comb of vectors in S .then we say S spans V.



Example:  
The set S={(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1)} spans  

$$R^3$$
.  
Since any vector u =  $(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in R^3$   
can be written as  $u = u_1(1,0,0) + u_2(0,1,0) + u_3(0,0,1)$   
 $= (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ 



The subspace S spanned by  $\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2, \underline{x}_3$ 

consists all vector S of the form :

 $\alpha_1(1,0,1,0) + \alpha_2(1,1,0,0) + \alpha_3(0,1,1,1)$ 

 $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_3)$ 

#### <u>Theorem :</u>

If We have a spanning set for a subspace S then any set obtaining by adjoining extra element of S is also a spanning set <u>Sketch of proof</u> :

$$\alpha_{1} \underline{x}_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{s} \underline{x}_{s} + \dots + O \underline{y}_{1} + \dots + O \underline{y}_{t}$$
Theorem:  
If  $\underline{x}_{1}, \dots, \underline{x}_{s}$  are line independence  
and subspace S then no proper sub  
set of  $\underline{x}_{1}, \dots, \underline{x}_{s}$  can span S.

#### Sketch of proof :

 $x_2, \ldots, x_s$  for example can not span S. because  $\underline{x}_1 \in S$ 

, but n is not a line. Comb of  $\underline{x}_2, \ldots, \underline{x}_s$ 

<u>Theorem :</u>

If a set of vector  $\{\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r\}$  is line dep . then any larger set

 $\{\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r, \underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{y}_s\}$  Is line dep.

Proof :

 $\exists$  Scalar  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  not all zero such that

 $\alpha_1 n_1 + \dots + \alpha_r n_r = \underline{0}$ 

$$\therefore \alpha_1 \underline{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \underline{x}_r + \dots + O \underline{y}_1 + \dots + O \underline{y}_s = \underline{0}$$

. Not all scalars on L.H.S are zero  $\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r, \underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{y}_r$ are line dep.



Corollary:

If a set is line indep then any subset is line indep.

### 4.4) Basis and Dimension :

DEFINITION :Let S be a subspace of  $R^n$ . Any line indep set in S that spans S is a basis of S.





We call this number m the dimension of S (dim S).

Example:

Show that S is a basis for  $R^3$  where S={(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1)}.

Solution:

To prove that S is line indep.

 $\alpha_1(1,0,1,0) + \alpha_2(1,1,0,0) + \alpha_3(0,1,1,1) = (0,0,0)$ 

$$\therefore \alpha_1 = 0 \qquad \alpha_2 = 0 \qquad \alpha_3 = 0$$
$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$$





Example :

Show that S={(1,1),(1,-1)} is a basis for  $\,R^2$ 

Solution :

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  where  $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ .

To show that  $\underline{X}$  can be written as a linear combination of



- Since the coefficient matrix of this system has a non-zero determinant then the system has unique solution.
- $\therefore$  S spans  $R^2$

To show that S is line indep.

$$c_{1}v_{1} + c_{2}v_{2} = 0$$
  

$$c_{1}(1,1) + c_{2}(1,-1) = (0,0)$$
  

$$(c_{1} + c_{2}, c_{1} - c_{2}) = (0,0)$$
  

$$c_{1} + c_{2} = 0$$
  

$$\frac{c_{1} - c_{2} = 0}{2c_{1} = 0}$$
  

$$c_{1} = c_{2} = 0$$
  

$$\therefore \text{ S is line indep}$$
  

$$\therefore \text{ S is a basis for } R^{2}$$

Theorem:

If  $\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r$  are line indep and if  $\underline{x}_{r+1}$  is not a line .comb. of

 $\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r$  then  $\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r, \underline{x}_{r+1}$  are line indep.

Proof :

Can we find scalars  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r, \alpha_{r+1}$  not all zero such that

$$\alpha_1 \underline{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \underline{x}_r + \alpha_{r+1} \underline{x}_{r+1} = 0 \quad ? \quad \dots (1)$$

If equation (1) satisfied then  $\alpha_{r+1} = 0$  (for other wise  $\underline{x}_{r+1} = \frac{-\alpha_1}{\alpha_{r+1}} \underline{x}_1 - \dots - \frac{\alpha_r}{\alpha_{r+1}} \underline{x}_r$ ) Hence  $\alpha_1 \underline{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \underline{x}_r = 0$ 

Hence  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_r = 0$  (for other wise  $\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r$  would be line . dep).

$$\therefore \underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_r, \underline{x}_{r+1} \text{ are line . Indep.}$$

$$R^n \text{ has a basis :}$$

$$e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$e_2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0)$$

$$e_3 = (0, 0, 1, \dots, 0)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$$
Containing n vectors  $\Re^n$ 



<u>Theorem :</u>

Every subspace S of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has dimension  $\leq n$ 

(subspace {0} has dim 0)

Corollary:

If A,B are subspaces of  $R^n$  and if A is contained in B then dim A  $\leq$  dim B.

Example :

Find the dimension of the subspace W of  $R^4$  spanned by

 $S = \{(-1,2,5,0), (3,0,1,-2), (-5,4,9,2)\}$ 

Solution :

W is spanned by S , S is not a basis for W because S is line .dep  $v_3$  can be written as line comb. Of  $v_1,v_2$ 



**INTERSECTIONS AND SUMS OF SUBSPACES:** 

Let Sand T be subspaces of  $\Re^n$ . Then their intersection denoted by S n T consist of all vectors common to

S and T.

The sum , S+T of the subspaces S and T is defined as

$$\{\underline{x}+\underline{y}; \underline{x}\in S, \underline{y}\in T\}.$$

THEOREM:

- If S,T are subspaces in  $\Re^n$ , then so are S n T and S+T. <u>PROOF:</u>
- (a) Suppose  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in SnT$  and  $\alpha$  is scalar .then  $\underline{x} \in SnT$   $\therefore \underline{x} \in Sand \underline{x} \in T$  $y \in SnT$   $\therefore y \in Sand y \in T$

$\therefore \underline{x} + \underline{y} \in S$ (since S is a subspace.)
$\underline{x} + \underline{y} \in T$
$\therefore \underline{x} + \underline{y} \in SnT.$
Also
$\alpha \underline{x} \in S, \alpha \underline{x} \in T$
$\therefore \alpha \underline{x} \in SnT.$ .:S n T is a subspace.
(b) Consider two vectors in S+T
$(\underline{x}_1 + \underline{y}_1)$ and $(\underline{x}_2 + \underline{y}_2)$
Where $\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2 \in S, \underline{y}_1, \underline{y}_2 \in T$

 $(\underline{x}_1 + \underline{y}_1) + (\underline{x}_2 + \underline{y}_2) = (\underline{x}_1 + \underline{x}_2) + (\underline{y}_1 + \underline{y}_2).$  $\underline{x}_1 + \underline{x}_2 \in S, \, \underline{y}_1 + \underline{y}_2 \in T.$  $\therefore (\underline{x}_1 + \underline{x}_2) + (\underline{y}_1 + \underline{y}_2) \in S + T.$ Also  $\alpha(\underline{x}_1 + \underline{y}_1) = \alpha \, \underline{x}_1 + \alpha \, \underline{y}_1$  $\in S$  $\therefore (\underline{x}_1 + \underline{y}_1) \in S + T.$ .:S+T is a subspace

# THEOREM:

- Dim S n T + dim (S+T)=dim S +dim T. <u>EXAMPLE:</u>
- In 3-space let S,T in plane through the origin . Then
- Dim S =dim T= 2.
- Dim (S n T)=1 , S+T= $\Re^3$
- .:1+3=2+2).

# CHAPTER FIVE:

# LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS:

Geometrical transformation in a plane and in 3-spaces

e.g/ rotation about a point. reflection in a line. rotation about a line. magnitication, stretch, shear

These transformations map points into points.

But if we denote the centre of a rotation by 0 or if we choose a point 0 is the line of a reflection or a rotation then these transformations map vectors with Origin 0 to a vector with origin 0.

- Such a transformation of vectors can be denoted by T and if T transform or map  $\phi$ Vector <u>*xto*</u>  $\underline{x}^{\vee}$  we write  $\underline{xT} = \underline{x}^{\vee}$ .
- EX. Shear
- Denote shear by S it transform the point  $(\alpha, \beta)to(\alpha + \beta, \beta)$
- $\therefore (\alpha, \beta)S = (\alpha + \beta, \beta).$ <u>DEFINITION:</u>
- If T is any lineear transformation of V into W, T:  $\forall \rightarrow W$ then  $\forall \underline{x}, y \in V$  and for any scalar

(a)  $(\underline{x} + \underline{y})T = \underline{x}T + \underline{y}T.$ (b)  $(\lambda \underline{x})T = \lambda(\underline{x}T).$ 

# **DEFINITION:**

- A mapping (or transformation) T from  $\Re^m to \Re^n$ a linear Transformation of
- $(i)(\underline{x} + \underline{y})T = \underline{x}T + \underline{y}T$
- $(ii)(\lambda \underline{x})T = \lambda(\underline{x}T)$
- For all vectors  $\underline{x}, y \in \Re^n_{and}$  for all scalars
- EXAMPLE: Show that  $T: \mathfrak{R}^2 \to \mathfrak{R}^2$ where  $V = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathfrak{R}^2$ .

$$(v_1, v_2)T = (v_1 - v_2, v_1 + 2v_2).$$

SOLUTION:  $(i)(u+v)T = (u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2)T$  $= [(u_1 + v_1) - (u_2 + v_2), (u_1 + v_1) + 2(u_2 + v_2)]$ =  $[(u_1 - u_2) + (v_1 - v_2), (u_1 + 2u_2) + (v_1 + 2v_2)]$  $= (u_1 - u_2, u_1 + 2u_2) + (v_1 - v_2, v_1 + 2v_2)$ = uT + vT(ii) Since  $\lambda u = \lambda(u_1, u_2) = (\lambda u_1, \lambda u_2)$  $(\lambda u)T = (\lambda u_1, \lambda u_2)T = (\lambda u_1 - \lambda u_2, \lambda u_1 + 2u_2)$  $\lambda(u_1 - u_2, u_1 + 2u_2)$  $=\lambda(uT)$ . .: T is a linear transformation.

# **Properties OF linear transformations**

let T be a linear transformation V into W when u and v *then* the following properties are true :

- 1) (0)T = 0.
- 2) (-v)T = -(v)T.
- 3) (u-v)T = (u)T (v)T.
- 4) IF v =  $C_1 V_1 + C_2 V_2 + \ldots + C_n V_n$  then

 $(v)T = (C_1V_1 + C_2V_2 + \ldots + C_nV_n)T$  $= c_1(v_1)T + c_2(v_2)T + \ldots + c_n(v_n)$ 

# EXAMPLE: Let : $\Re^3 \rightarrow \Re^3$ such that (1,0,0)T = (2,-1,4)(0,1,0)T = (1,5,-2)(0,0,1)T = (0,3,1)FIND (2,3,-2)T. SOLUTION Since (2,3,-2) = 2(1,0,0) + 3(0,1,0) - 2(0,0,1)This from property (4) (2,3,-2)T = 2(1,0,0)T + 3(0,1,0)T - 2(0,0,1)T=2(2,-1,4) + 3(1,5,-2) - 2(0,3,1)=(4,-2,8) + (3,15,-6) - (0,6,2)=(7,7,0)

- <u>DEFINITION:</u>
- The set of all image vectors  $\underline{X} \top$  (when  $\underline{x} \in \Re^n$ ) is called the image of T denoted by imT.

$$\mathsf{imT} = \{ \underline{x}T; x \in \mathfrak{R}^m \}$$

THEOREM:

- IF T is a linear transformation from  $\Re^m to \Re^t$  then imT is A subspace of  $\Re^n$ <u>PROOF:</u>
- Suppose  $\underline{u}, \underline{v} \in imT$
- then  $\underline{u} = \underline{x}T, \underline{v} = \underline{y}T$  where  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \Re^m$
- $\therefore \underline{u} + \underline{v} = \underline{x}T + \underline{y}T = (\underline{x} + \underline{y})T \in imT.$

#### Also

# $\alpha u = \alpha(\underline{x}T) = (\alpha \underline{x})T \in imT.$

imT satisfies both conditions for being a subspace <u>DIFINFTION</u>:



# THEOREM:

The kernel of T:  $\mathfrak{R}^m \to \mathfrak{R}^n$  is a sub space of  $\mathfrak{R}^m$ <u>PROOF:</u>

We must prove that if  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \ker T$  then

# $\begin{array}{c} \underline{x} + \underline{y} \in \ker T \\ \text{and} \quad \alpha \underline{x} \in \ker T \\ \hline \text{THEOREM:} \end{array}$

If T is a linear transformation from  $\Re^m$  into  $\Re^n$  then dim (kerT) + dim (imT) = m.

# EXAMPLE:

 $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ 

- Find the kernel of the linear transformation  $-\infty^2$
- T:  $\mathfrak{R}^2 \to \mathfrak{R}^3$  given by

 $X_1$ 

$$(x_1, x_2)T = (x_1 - 2x_2, 0, -x_1)$$
  
SOLUTION:

To find ker (T) we need to find all X=  $(x_1, x_2)$  such that  $(x_1, x_2)T = (x_1 - 2x_2, 0, -x_1) = (0, 0, 0)$   $x_1 - 2x_2 = 0$  $\therefore x_1 = 0$ 

.: ker (T) ={(0,0)} ={ **O** }

## EXAMPLE:

Suppose T is a linear transformation from  $\Re^4 to \Re^3$  define as follows:

$$(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4})T = (x_{1} + x_{3} - x_{4}, x_{2} + x_{3} + x_{4}, x_{1} + x_{2} + 2x_{3})$$
Find the ker (T) .  
SOLUTION:  
x  $\in$  ker(T) if xT = O  
If  $x_{1} + x_{3} - x_{4} = 0$   
 $x_{2} + x_{3} + x_{4} = 0$   
 $x_{1} + x_{2} + 2x_{3} = 0$ 

Put  $x_3 = \alpha, x_4 = \beta$  then  $x_1 = \beta - \alpha, x_2 = -\alpha - \beta$ . Typical element in ker T is  $(-\alpha + \beta, -\alpha - \beta, \alpha, \beta)$  $= \alpha(-1, -1, 1, 0) + \beta(1, -1, 0, 1)$ Ker(T)={ $\alpha(-1, -1, 1, 0)$ . $\beta(1, -1, 0, 1)$ } The vectors(-1, -1, 1, 0),(1, -1, 0, 1) form basis for kerT because they span it and they are line .Ind .  $\therefore$  dim ker(T) =2 Typical element in image of T  $(x_1 + x_3 - x_4, x_2 + x_3 + x_4, x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3)$  $(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  $t_3 = t_1 + t_2$ when

So, Typical element in image of T is  $(t_1, t_2, t_1 + t_2) = t_1(1, 0, 1) + t_2(0, 1, 1)$ .:dim im T=2. THEOREM:

Let T: V  $\longrightarrow$  W be a linear transformation , then T is One-to-one iff ker (T)={\_0}.

# THEOREM:

- Let T:  $V \longrightarrow W$  be a linear transformation , then T is
- Onto iff the rank of T is equal to the dimension of W.

